

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/822,103	03/30/2001		Cary Lee Bates	RAL920010007US1	9182
47052	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
SAWYER PO BOX 51		OUP LLP	NGUYEN, CUONG H		
PALO ALTO, CA 94303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3661	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Author Occurren	09/822,103	BATES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Se	eptember 2005.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,23 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3,7-10 and 13-21</u> is/are objected to.							
,							
0)23 Claim(3) <u>7 27,23 and 27</u> are subject to restrict	on analor diodion roquiromonic						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication (received on 9/19/2005).
- 2. Claims 1-21, and 23-24 are pending in the present application. Currently, there are 7 independent claims

Restriction

- 3. A restriction is required according to the current examiner's analysis (the previous examiner was no longer with USPTO); any delay on this case is regretted.
- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-10, and 23 are drawn to "a method for controlling a transmission", comprising learning abilities (for an application using GPS signals; it can be used for controlling transmission of other transmission signals) classified in classes 340, subclass 5.1.
- II. Claims 11-21, and 23-24 are drawn to "a system for controlling a transmission", (for GPS signals this is an intent of use) classified in class 398, subclasses 125, 130-131.

These 2 distinct inventions cause the previous examiner to combine many different prior art from different US Patent Classification field to show obviousness rejections. Applicants argue that a method claim "is analogous" to a system claim; the examiner disagrees because of above distinct inventions requiring different areas of searching, and consultations.

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

S.N. 09/822,103 Art Unit 3661

showing the species to be obvious variants or <u>clearly admit on the record</u> that this is the case.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 1, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 11 said "the performance of the automatic transmission is improvable when a particular load ...increases by a particular amount ... within a particular time...". The current examiner respectfully submits that this expression is indefinite – claiming three unknown variables happen simultaneously – the current examiner respectfully submits that he has never seen how to solve an equation with 3 unknown variables (applicants, however, argue that this limitation not being provided by cited references – see page 4, para. 1 of the REMARK/ ARGUMENTS).

Dependent claims 3, 7-10, and 13-21 are objected for above deficiency of their respective independent claims.

Conclusions

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 571-272-6956. The Rightfax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-6759.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Please provide support, with page and line numbers, for any amended or new claim in an effort to help advance prosecution; otherwise any new claim language that is introduced in an amended or new claim may be considered as new matter, especially if the Application is a Jumbo Application.

CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661

Cumphinguyen